



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,048		03/23/2001	George Harry Hoffman	41556/04008 (RSI1P021)	6017
22428	7590	10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
FOLEY A		DNER		ZEENDER, F	LORIAN M
SUITE 500 3000 K STR		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	20007	3627		

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	SW 3			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/816,048	HOFFMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	app ars on th cover sh et	with the correspond nc add	'ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strong reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may no reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ratute, cause the application to become railing date of this communication, eve	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this com MABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3						
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applica	ation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	didim nom concideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	:age			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-				

S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/816,048

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shavit et al. in view of the cited "Production and Operations Management" manual.

Shavit et al. disclose, or inherently teach, all of the limitations of the claims including: supply chain communication between stores, distributors, suppliers, and manufacturers using a network interface including the Internet.

Shavit et al. lacks the specific teaching of particular method steps associated with the manager of the supply chain including: transmitting sales data from suppliers, sending purchase data from distributors, and communicating sales data from stores as well as from the manager' office.

The manual discloses a manager's responsibilities in managing a supply chain and specifically teaches on page 599 (2nd complete paragraph) that firms often include "actual point-of-sale data" in EDI (Electronic Data Interchange).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Shavit et al. to have sales data transmitted from suppliers to the supply chain manager, to have purchase data sent from distributors to the supply chain manager, and to have sales data communicated from stores as well as from the

Application/Control Number: 09/816,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

manager' office to the manager, in view of the cited "Production and Operations

Management" manual, in order to "lower production costs through lower buffer
inventories and more efficient scheduling" (Production and Operations Management,
page 599, lines 18-19).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

F. Zeender

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

P/20/03

October 28, 2003